Spectroscopy-supported digital soil mapping







### Outline

- Introduction
- Project and theoretical background
- Field sampling
- Estimating and mapping soil mineralogy
- Conclusion & outlook



# The nation that destroys its soils destroys itself

Frankling D. Roosevelt, Letter to all State Governors on a Uniform Soil Conservation Law (26 February, 1937)

### BACKGROUND

In the epoch of the Anthropocene, human-driven changes affected the **functioning of the Earth System**. Nowadays, we need to adapt to climate changes and assure **food security** for the growing human population. Here, **soil resources** provide key ecosystem services and they need to be **mapped** and **monitored** on regional and global scale.







#### Problems

- Missing data and too general information
- Low accuracy
- How to use soil classes for modelling environmental processes





### e-SOTER: enhanced Soil and Terrain Database

- Regional soil and terrain mapping
- Web-based *regional pilot platform*
- Data, methodologies, and applications
- Using remote sensing to validate, augment and extend existing data





### **OBJECTIVE**

To exploit the use of **remote** (RS) and **proximal sensing** (PS) methodologies for **digital soil mapping** (DSM) to facilitate soil property mapping at **regional** scale.





# Digital soil mapping

The creation and population of spatial soil information by the use of field and laboratory observation methods coupled with spatial and non-spatial soil inference systems (Carré et al., 2007)

- 1. Goal: Spatial patterns of soils across various spatial and temporal scales
- 2. Local soil observations
  - Field, laboratory and PS observations
- 3. Exhaustive datasets
  - Spatially explicit maps, e.g. existing soil maps or RS data & product
- 4. Statistical methods
  - Regression models
  - Data mining
  - Geostatistics

F. Carré, A.B., McBratney, T. Mayr, L. Montanarella (2007). Digital soil assessments: Beyond DSM. Geoderma, 142 (1-2), 69-79.



## **Remote Sensing**



- RS is the science/ are the techniques of deriving information about the Earth's land and water areas from images at a distance
- It relies upon measurement of electro-magnetic (EM) energy reflected or emitted from the objects of interest at the surface of the Earth





### Remote and proximal sensing







## Remote and proximal sensing





## Workflow digital soil mapping (DSM)



http://www.africasoils.net/data/digital-soil-mapping



### Spectroscopy-supported digital soil mapping (I) Traditional Spectroscopy



Fieldwork Maroc: Spectral measurements



Laboratory measurements: Spectral measurements

Data collection



Fieldwork Maroc: soil profile description



Laboratory measurements: X-ray diffraction

V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman, T.R. Mayr (2011). The use of remote sensing in soil and terrain mapping – A review. Geoderma, 162- (1-2), 1-19. doi:10.1016/j.geoderm.2010.12.018

Soil measurements



# What is the current state-of-art in the use of remote sensing for soil and terrain mapping?



V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman, T.R. Mayr (2011). The use of remote sensing in soil and terrain mapping – A review. Geoderma, 162- (1-2), 1-19. doi:10.1016/j.geoderm.2010.12.018



### Study area



Anti-Atlas Mountains

V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman (2012). Representing major soil variability at regional scale by constrained Latin Hypercube Sampling of remote sensing data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, (21) 301-310. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2012.07.004























### MAIN FINDINGS







- 2 weeks in the field
- Few legacy data
- 150.000 km2
- Time & financial limitations

### SAMPLING

Can **major soil variability** at regional scale be represented by a sparse remote sensing-based sampling approach?

V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman (2012). Representing major soil variability at regional scale by constrained Latin Hypercube Samping of remote sensing data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, (21) 301-310. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2012.07.004



### Soil-landscape paradigm (Jenny)

Soil formation = Climate, Organisms, Relief, Parent material & Time (CLORPT)

**Reflectance + elevation** represents **CLORPT** 

## Latin Hypercube sampling

- Input: Satellite and elevation data as a variability measure of soils
- Constrained by subareas, distance to the roads and steepness of the landscape
  - Cost function f(x)= areas + distance + topography + water
- 100 sites were optimised to optimally sample the Latin Hypercube (LH)
  - Minimize cost while sampling the marginal distributions within the LH
- The sample provides thematic information (fit prediction models)
- The RS data provides the necessary spatial context (extrapolation to full spatial extend)

V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman (2012). Representing major soil variability at regional scale by constrained Latin Hypercube Samping of remote sensing data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, (21) 301-310. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2012.07.004



# Sampling

### **Common practise**



- Expensive
- Accessibility
- Sampled variability

### **RS-based sampling approach**



- Variability observed in RS data (PCA)
- Subareas, distance to road, steepness of the landscape
- Captured major soil variability in study area

V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman (2012). Representing major soil variability at regional scale by constrained Latin Hypercube Sampling of remote sensing data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, (21) 301-310. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2012.07.004







### RETRIEVAL

Which methods allow retrieval of **mineralogy** from **mixtures** using **proximal sensing**?





# Measurements (I)

Field



Source: Canadian Wildlife federation

#### Standard analysis



Prepared samples for X-ray diffraction

#### **OR** Spectral analysis



Prepared samples for spectroscopic measurements

#### Measurements of soil properties using spectroscopy

- Soil mineralogy
- Experiment 1: Classification of mineral classes
- Experiment 2: Retrieval of individual mineral abundances

- Mineral maps?

Experiment 1: V.L. Mulder, S de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman, (2012). Retrieval of composite mineralogy by VNIR spectroscopy, 5th Global Workshop on Digital Soil Mapping 2012, Sydney, Australia.



#### WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY WAGENINGEN UR

#### Department of Geography



Problem: inference of mineralogy

- 1. Many minerals in a single sample
- 2. Absorption features not distinct

V.L. Mulder, S de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman, (2012). Retrieval of composite mineralogy by VNIR spectroscopy, 5th Global Workshop on Digital Soil Mapping 2012, Sydney, Australia.





### Experiment 1: Mineral Identification & Classification Algorithm (MICA) USGS Processing Routines in IDL for Spectroscopic Measurements (PRISM)



#### **Results**

- Mineral categories
- Overall accuracy 52%

Mineral	Calcite-rich	Calcite-poor
Kaolinite	38	17
Smectite	6	27
Dioctahedral Mica	40	34

V.L. Mulder, S de Bruin, M.E. Schaepman, (2012). Retrieval of composite mineralogy by VNIR spectroscopy, 5th Global Workshop on Digital Soil Mapping 2012, Sydney, Australia.





# Spectral deconvolution coupled with regression tree analysis

### Method



### **Results (natural samples)**



V.L. Mulder, M. Plotze, S. de Bruin, S., M.E. Schaepman, C. Mavris, R. Kokaly, M. Egli, M., (2013). Quantifying mineral abundances of complex mixtures by coupling spectral deconvolution of SWIR spectra (2.1-2.4  $\mu$ m) and regression tree analysis. Geoderma, (207) 279-290. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.05.011





Why?

- Remember: 100 samples
- Represent major variability
  - Very low spatial correlation
- RS data: high resolution high variability
- Result: few compatibility in variability
- Smoothing the RS data represent similar variability as the sampled soils

### MAPPING

Can **scale-dependent variability** be extracted from **remote sensing** and do model predictions improve by using scaled remote sensing that **match** the variability of **the sample**?



# Methods

- Fixed Rank Kriging (Cressie and Johannesson, 2008)
  - Handles large datasets
  - Interpolate gaps
  - Smoothing data based on medium and long-scale processes
- Model soil mineralogy
  - 1. Mineral categories & mineral abundances
  - 2. Predictor variables from satellite data
  - Statistical relation between 1 & 2

Cressie, N., Johannesson, G., 2008. Fixed rank kriging for very large spatial data sets. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B: Statistical Methodology 70(1), 209-226.





### **Characterizing regional soil mineralogy (II)**



V.L. Mulder, S. de Bruin, S., J. Weyermann, R. Kokaly, M.E. Schaepman, (2013). Characterizing regional soil mineral composition using spectroscopy and geostatistics. Remote Sensing of Environment (139),415-429. DOI: 10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.018





### **GENERAL CONCLUSION**

Spectroscopy-supported digital soil mapping is time and cost efficient for large-scale soil assessments

Improvements in regional-scale DSM result from the integrated use of remote sensing with geostatistical methods

### OUTLOOK

To deliver **accurate** and **comprehensive** information about **soils**, **soil resources** and **ecosystem services** provided by soils at regional and ultimately global scale

### Essentially, all life depends upon the soil. There can be no life without soil and no soil without life; they have evolved together.

American naturalist Charles Kellogg, 1938.



## Thank you all